

# The American Mathematical Monthly

ISSN: 0002-9890 (Print) 1930-0972 (Online) Journal homepage: <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uamm20>

## Irrational Numbers

J. P. Jones & S. Toporowski

To cite this article: J. P. Jones & S. Toporowski (1973) Irrational Numbers, The American Mathematical Monthly, 80:4, 423-424, DOI: [10.1080/00029890.1973.11993304](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1973.11993304)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1973.11993304>



Published online: 11 Apr 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1



View related articles [↗](#)

- (a) In Section 5 we saw that the third car always develops wild acceleration if  $C > \pi/2$ .
- (b) By Q9(c) we see that no car *ever* develops wild acceleration, but each car is wilder than its predecessor if  $C > \omega/2 \sin \omega$ .

#### References

1. F. Haight, *Mathematical Theories of Traffic Flow*, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
2. D. Gazis, *Mathematical theory of automobile traffic*, *Science*, 157 (7-21-67) 273-281.
3. R. Herman and Gardels, *Vehicular traffic flow*, *Scientific American*, 209 no. 6 (Dec. 1963) 35-43.
4. R. Herman, Montroll, Potts, and Rothery, *Traffic dynamics: Analysis of stability in car following*, *Operations Res.*, 7 (1959) 86-103.
5. R. Chandler, Herman, and Montroll, *Traffic Dynamics: Studies in car following*, *Operations Res.*, 6 (1958) 165-184.
6. H. Simon, *Models of Man*, Chapter 13, *Application of Servomechanism Theory to Production Control*, Wiley, New York, 1957.

#### IRRATIONAL NUMBERS

J. P. JONES AND S. TOPOROWSKI, University of Calgary

For the past few years a clever proof has been making the rounds of the various mathematics departments.

**THEOREM 1.** *An irrational number raised to an irrational power may be rational.*

*Proof:* Consider the identity

$$[\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}]^{\sqrt{2}} = 2.$$

If  $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$  is rational then we are finished. If not then  $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$  is irrational so  $(\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}})^{\sqrt{2}}$  is the example.

This proof seems first to have been published by Dov Jarden as a curiosity in [3]. The proof was published again in [2]. Note that while the proof is elementary, it is non-constructive. The non-constructivity enters in the form of the logical principle of the excluded middle (*tertium non datur*) which the intuitionists reject.

Actually  $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$  is irrational, being the square root of Hilbert's number  $2^{\sqrt{2}}$ , proved transcendental by Kuzmin [1] in 1930. But this result, which is not elementary, is not used above. Only the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$  is used.

Consider next the related theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *An irrational number raised to an irrational power may be irrational.*

Of course we can use set theoretical principles to prove that  $a^b$  is irrational for almost all real numbers  $b$ . Or we can use the result of Kuzmin [1] to prove Theorem 2. But does Theorem 2 have an elementary proof?

*Proof:* Consider the identity  $\sqrt{2^{(\sqrt{2}+1)}} = (\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}) \sqrt{2}$ .

If  $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$  is irrational then we are finished. If not, then  $\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}}$  is rational. Hence  $(\sqrt{2^{\sqrt{2}}})\sqrt{2}$  is irrational, and  $\sqrt{2^{(\sqrt{2}+1)}}$  is the example in this case.

There is also a simple identity by means of which it can be proved that a rational number raised to an irrational power may be irrational. But perhaps the reader would enjoy finding this one himself.

#### References

1. R. Kuzmin, On a new class of transcendental numbers, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 7 (1930) 585–597.
2. *Mathematics Magazine*, 39(1966) 111, 134.
3. *Scripta Mathematica*, 19 (1953) 229.

$$\text{A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE FORMULA } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} = \pi^2/6$$

IOANNIS PAPADIMITRIOU, Athens, Greece

Start with the inequality  $\sin x < x < \tan x$  for  $0 < x < \pi/2$ , take reciprocals, and square each member to obtain

$$\cot^2 x < 1/x^2 < 1 + \cot^2 x.$$

Now put  $x = k\pi/(2m+1)$  where  $k$  and  $m$  are integers,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , and sum on  $k$  to obtain

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1} < \frac{(2m+1)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} < m + \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1}.$$

But since we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \cot^2 \frac{k\pi}{2m+1} = \frac{m(2m-1)}{3},$$

(a proof of (2) is given below) relation (1) gives us

$$\frac{m(2m-1)}{3} < \frac{(2m+1)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} < m + \frac{m(2m-1)}{3}.$$

Multiply this relation by  $\pi^2/(4m^2)$  and let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

*Proof of (2).* By equating imaginary parts in the formula

$$\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta = (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n = \sin^n \theta (\cot \theta + i)^n$$