# A LOCAL MAZUR-ULAM THEOREM

OSAMU HATORI

ABSTRACT. We prove a local version of the Mazur-Ulam theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider isometries between subsets of normed spaces. The Mazur-Ulam theorem asserts that an isometry from a normed space onto a normed space is real-linear up to translation (cf. [3, 4]). An isometry from a normed space *into* a normed space need not be real-linear up to translation (cf. [1]). An isometry from an open set  $U_1$  of a normed space onto an open set  $U_2$  need not be extended to a real-linear map up to translation (see Example 2.3). We show that if  $U_1$  is star-shaped, then the isometry is extended to a real-linear map up to translation between the underlying normed spaces. We also consider maps defined on a subset of a normed space which is not necessarily open.

Throughout the paper *B* denotes a real normed space. For a subset *X* of *B*, Int(X) is the interior of *X*. A star-shaped subset *K* with a center *c* of *B* is a set which satisfies that  $tc + (1 - t)x \in K$  for every  $x \in K$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Let  $a \in B$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The open ball  $\{x \in B : ||x - a|| < \varepsilon\}$  is denoted by  $B_{\varepsilon}(a)$  and  $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(a)}$  its closure in *B*. For a pair *a* and *b* in *B* the set  $\{x \in B : ta + (1 - t)b, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is said to be a segment between *a* and *b* and is denoted by [a, b].

## 2. Isometries between open sets

We begin by showing a preliminary lemma. We prove it by making use of an idea of Väisälä [4]

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $c \in B$  and a map  $\psi : B \to B$  be defined as  $\psi(z) = 2c - z$ Suppose that L is a non-empty bounded subset of B such that  $c \in L$  and  $\psi(L) = L$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a surjective isometry from L onto itself. Then  $\mathcal{T}(c) = c$ .

Key words and phrases. normed spaces, isometries, the Mazu-Ulam theorem.

The author was partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

*Proof.* Let W be the set of all surjective isometries from L onto itself. Note that W is not empty since the identity function is in W. Let

$$\lambda = \sup\{\|g(c) - c\| : g \in W\}.$$

Since L is bounded  $\lambda < \infty$ . We will show that  $\lambda = 0$ . Suppose that  $g \in W$ . Let  $g^* = g^{-1} \circ \psi \circ g$ . Then  $g^* \in W$ . Hence

$$\lambda \ge \|g^*(c) - c\| = \|g^{-1} \circ \psi \circ g(c) - c\|$$
$$= \|\psi \circ g(c) - g(c)\| = 2\|g(c) - c\|.$$

It follows that  $\lambda \geq 2\lambda$  since g can be chosen arbitrary, hence  $\lambda = 0$ .

A real vector space with a metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfying d(a+u, b+u) = d(a, b) for all a, b, u, and for which addition and scalar multiplication are jointly continuous is called a metric real vector space.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  be a real normed space and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  a metric real vector space with a metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Suppose that  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are non-empty open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  respectively. Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a surjective isometry  $(d(\mathcal{T}(a), \mathcal{T}(b)) = ||a - b||$  for every  $a, b \in U_1$ ) from  $U_1$  onto  $U_2$  and  $f, g \in U_1$ . If f and g satisfy the equation  $(1 - r)f + rg \in U_1$  for every r with  $0 \le r \le 1$ , then the equality

$$\mathcal{T}(\frac{f+g}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds.

We

*Proof.* Let  $h, h' \in U_1$ . Suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  which satisfies that  $\frac{\|h-h'\|}{2} < \varepsilon$ , and

$$\{a \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|a - h\| < \varepsilon, \ \|a - h'\| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_1,$$
$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}_2 : d(u, \mathcal{T}(h)) < \varepsilon, \ d(u, \mathcal{T}(h'))\| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_2.$$
will show that  $\mathcal{T}(\frac{h+h'}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$ . Set  $r = \frac{\|h - h'\|}{2}$  and let
$$L_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|a - h\| = r = \|a - h'\|\},$$

 $L_2 = \{ u \in \mathcal{B}_2 : d(u, \mathcal{T}(h)) = r = d(u, \mathcal{T}(h')) \}.$ 

Set also  $c_1 = \frac{h+h'}{2}$  and  $c_2 = \frac{\mathcal{T}(h) + \mathcal{T}(h')}{2}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{T}(L_1) = L_2, c_1 \in L_1 \subset U_1$ , and  $L_2 \subset U_2$ . Let

$$\psi_2(y) = 2c_2 - y \quad (y \in \mathcal{B}_2)$$

Then  $\psi_2$  is an isometry and  $\psi_2(L_2) = L_2$ .

Let  $Q = \mathcal{T}^{-1} \circ \psi_2 \circ \mathcal{T}$ . Then Q is well-defined and is a surjective isometry from  $L_1$  onto itself. Then by Lemma 2.1  $Q(c_1) = c_1$ . Henceforth  $\mathcal{T}(c_1) = c_2$ .

We assume that f and g are as described. Let

$$K = \{(1-r)f + rg : 0 \le r \le 1\}.$$

Since K and  $\mathcal{T}(K)$  are compact, there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  with

$$\inf\{\|a-b\|: a \in K, b \in \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus U_1\} > \varepsilon,$$
  
$$\inf\{d(u,v): u \in \mathcal{T}(K), v \in \mathcal{B}_2 \setminus U_2\} > \varepsilon.$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (u(u, v)) \cdot u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}), v \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

Then for every  $h \in K$  we have

$$\{a \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|a - h\| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_1$$

and

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B}_2 : ||u - \mathcal{T}(h)|| < \varepsilon\} \subset U_2.$$

Choose a natural number n with  $\frac{\|f-g\|}{2^n} < \varepsilon$ . Let

$$h_k = \frac{k}{2^n}(g-f) + f$$

for each  $0 \le k \le 2^n$ . By the first part of the proof we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+1}) = 0 \qquad (k)$$

holds for  $0 \le k \le 2^n - 2$ . For  $0 \le k \le 2^n - 4$ , adding the equations (k), 2 times of (k+1), and (k+2) we have

$$\mathcal{T}(h_k) + \mathcal{T}(h_{k+4}) - 2\mathcal{T}(h_{k+2}) = 0,$$

whence the equality

$$\mathcal{T}(\frac{f+g}{2}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}(f) + \mathcal{T}(g)}{2}$$

holds by induction on n.

An isometry between open sets of normed spaces need not be extended to a linear map up to translation.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{x, y\}$  be a compact Hausdorff space consisting of two points and  $C(\mathcal{X})$  denote the Banach algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ f \in C(\mathcal{X}) : \|f\| < 1 \} \cup \{ f \in C(X) : \|f - f_0\| < 1 \},\$$

where  $f_0 \in C(\mathcal{X})$  is defined as  $f_0(x) = 0$ ,  $f_0(y) = 10$ . Suppose that

$$\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{U}$$

is defined as  $\mathcal{T}(f) = f$  if ||f|| < 1 and  $\mathcal{T}(f) = \tilde{f}$  if  $||f - f_0|| < 1$ , where

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} -f(t), & t = x \\ f(t), & t = y \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{U}$  onto itself, while it cannot be extended to a real linear isometry up to translation.

3. Sufficient conditions for real-linearity

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be real normed spaces. Let  $U_1$  be a non-empty star-shaped open subset of  $B_1$ . Suppose that  $T : U_1 \to B_2$  is an isometry such that  $T(U_1)$  is open in  $B_2$ . Then there exists a surjective real-linear isometry  $\tilde{T}_0$  from  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$  and  $u \in B_2$  such that

$$T(a) = T_0(a) + u \quad (a \in U)$$

holds.

Proof. Let  $a_0$  be a center of  $U_1$ ; i.e.,  $[a_0, x] \subset U_1$  for every  $x \in U_1$ . Let  $\varphi : B_1 \to B_1$  be defined as  $\varphi_1(x) = x + a_0$  ( $x \in B_1$ ) and  $\varphi_2 : B_2 \to B_2$  defined as  $\varphi_2(x) = x - T(a_0)$  ( $x \in B_2$ ). Then  $\varphi_2 \circ T \circ \varphi_1 : U_1 - a_0 \to B_2$  is an isometry such that  $\varphi_2 \circ T \circ \varphi_1(U_1 - a_0)$  is open in  $B_2$ . We will show that  $\varphi_2 \circ T \circ \varphi_1$  is extended to a surjective real-linear isometry  $\tilde{T}_0$  from  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$ . It will follow that the conclusion holds. Let  $V_1 = U_1 - a$  and  $T_0 = \varphi_2 \circ T \circ \varphi_1$ . There is r > 0 with  $B_{3r}(0) \subset V_1$ . Let  $a \in V_1$ . Since 0 is a center of  $V_1$ ,  $ta = ta + (1 - t)0 \in V_1$ . Then by Lemma 2.2

$$T_0\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) = T_0\left(\frac{a+0}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(a) + T_0(0)}{2} = \frac{T_0(a)}{2}$$

holds. For every  $0 \le s_1 \le 1$  and  $0 \le s_2 \le 1$ ,  $t(s_1a) + (1-t)(s_2a) \in V_1$ , hence

$$T_0\left(\frac{s_1a + s_2a}{2}\right) = \frac{T_0(s_1a) + T_0(s_2a)}{2}$$

holds. Applying the above equation and by induction on n

$$T_0\left(\frac{m}{2^n}a\right) = \frac{m}{2^n}T_0(a)$$

holds for every positive integer n and  $m = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n$ . It follows that

$$(3.1) T_0(ta) = tT_0(a)$$

holds for every  $a \in V_1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Let  $a, b \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ . Then  $a + b \in B_{3r}(0) \subset V_1$  holds, and  $ta + (1 - t)b \in \overline{B_r(0)} \subset V_1$   $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$  and (3.1) imply the equations

(3.2) 
$$T_0(a+b) = 2T_0\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = 2 \times \frac{T_0(a) + T_0(b)}{2} = T_0(a) + T_0(b)$$

by Lemma 2.2. Define a map  $\tilde{T}_0: B_1 \to B_2$  as

$$\tilde{T}_{0}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, \\ \frac{\|x\|}{r} T_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right), & x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We will show that  $T_0(x) = \tilde{T}_0(x)$  for every  $x \in V_1$ . Let  $x \in V_1$ . If x = 0, then  $T_0(x) = 0 = \tilde{T}_0(x)$ . Suppose that  $r \leq ||x||$ . Then by (3.1)

$$T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \frac{r}{\|x\|}T_0(x),$$

so  $\tilde{T}_0(x) = T(x)$  holds. Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and ||x|| < r. Then

(3.3) 
$$T_0(x) = T_0\left(\frac{\|x\|}{r}\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \frac{\|x\|}{r}T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \tilde{T}_0(x)$$

hold by (3.1).

We will show that

(3.4) 
$$\tilde{T}_0(sx) = s\tilde{T}_0(x)$$

for every  $x \in B_1$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $a \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ . Then  $-a \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ , and by (3.2)  $T_0(-a) = -T_0(a)$  holds. Let  $x \in B_1$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If x = 0 or s = 0, then  $\tilde{T}_0(sx) = s\tilde{T}_0(x)$  holds. Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and s > 0. Then

$$\tilde{T}_0(sx) = \frac{\|sx\|}{r} T_0\left(\frac{rs}{\|sx\|}x\right) = \frac{s\|x\|}{r} T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = s\tilde{T}_0(x).$$

Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and s < 0. Then

$$\tilde{T}_0(sx) = \frac{-s\|x\|}{r} T_0\left(\frac{-r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \frac{s\|x\|}{r} T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = s\tilde{T}_0(x)$$

since  $\frac{r}{\|x\|}x \in \overline{B_r(0)}$ .

We will show that

(3.5) 
$$\tilde{T}_0(x+y) = \tilde{T}_0(x) + \tilde{T}_0(y)$$

holds for every  $x, y \in B_1$ . If x + y = 0, then y = -x and hence (3.5) holds by (3.4). Suppose that  $x + y \neq 0$ . If x = 0 or y = 0, then (3.5) holds since  $\tilde{T}_0(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . Then by (3.2) and (3.3)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} x + \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} y \right) &= T_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} x + \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} y \right) \\ &= T_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} x \right) + T_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} y \right) \\ &= \tilde{T}_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} x \right) + \tilde{T}_0 \left( \frac{r}{\|x\| + \|y\|} y \right) \end{split}$$

follows and (3.5) holds by (3.4).

We will show that  $\tilde{T}_0$  is a surjection. Let  $y \in B_2$ . Then there is  $r_0 > 0$ such that  $\frac{y}{r_0} \in T_0(V_1)$  since  $T_0(V_1)$  is open and  $0 = T_0(0) \in T_0(V_1)$ . Then there is  $x_0 \in V_1$  with  $T_0(x_0) = \frac{y}{r_0}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{T}_0(r_0x_0) = y$ .

We will show that  $T_0$  is an isometry. Let  $x \in B_1$ . If x = 0, then  $\|\tilde{T}_0(x)\| = \|x\|$ . Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\tilde{T}_0(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} \tilde{T}_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right) = \frac{\|x\|}{r} T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right)$$

hence

$$\|\tilde{T}_0(x)\| = \frac{\|x\|}{r} \|T_0\left(\frac{r}{\|x\|}x\right)\| = \frac{\|x\|}{r} \|\frac{r}{\|x\|}x - 0\| = \|x - 0\| = \|x\|$$

since  $T_0$  is an isometry and  $T_0 = \tilde{T}_0$  on  $V_1$ . Thus  $\tilde{T}_0$  is an isometry since  $\tilde{T}_0$  is linear.

There are two preliminary lemmata for the following corollary. They may be standard, but proofs are included for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that U is a non-empty open subset of B and  $p \in B \setminus U$ . Then

$$V_{p,U} = \bigcup_{x \in U} [p, x] \setminus \{p\}$$

is an open subset of B.

Proof. Suppose that  $x_0 \in V_{p,U}$ . Then there exist  $y_0 \in U$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  with  $x_0 = tp + (1-t)y_0$ . Note that t < 1 holds, in fact, for  $p \notin V_{p,U}$ . Since U is open there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $B_{\varepsilon}(y_0) \subset U$ . Then by a simple calculation

$$B_{(1-t)\varepsilon}(x_0) \subset V_{p,U}$$

holds. Hence  $V_{p,U}$  is open for  $x_0$  is arbitrary.

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that X is a convex subset of B and  $Int(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then Int(X) is also convex and the closure  $\overline{Int(X)}$  of Int(X) contains X.

Proof. We will show that  $[a, b] \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  for every pair a and b in  $\operatorname{Int}(X)$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in \operatorname{Int}(X)$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  of b with  $a \notin U$ . Then Lemma 3.2 insures that  $V_{a,U}$  is open. Since X is convex,  $[a, x] \subset X$  for every  $x \in U$ , hence  $V_{a,U} \subset X$ , hence  $V_{a,U} \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  since  $V_{a,U}$  is open. It follows that  $[a, b] \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  since  $a \in \operatorname{Int}(X)$ ;  $\operatorname{Int}(X)$  is convex.

Let  $p \in X$ . Let *a* be any element in  $\operatorname{Int}(X)$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood *U* of *a* such that  $p \notin U \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$ . Hence  $V_{p,U} \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$ since  $V_{p,U} \subset X$  for *X* is convex and  $V_{p,U}$  is open by Lemma 3.2. Let  $x_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})p + \frac{1}{n}a$  for each positive integer *n*. Then  $x_n \in V_{p,U}$  and  $x_n \to p$ as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $p \in \overline{\operatorname{Int}(X)}$ .

6

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be real normed spaces. Let X be a convex subset of  $B_1$  and  $Int(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $T : X \to B_2$  is isometric and T(Int(X)) is open in  $B_2$ . Then T is extended to a real-linear isometry up to translation.

Proof. Since the restriction  $T|_{\operatorname{Int}(X)} : \operatorname{Int}(X) \to B_2$  of T to  $\operatorname{Int}(X)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1,  $T|_{\operatorname{Int}(X)}$  is extended to a surjective reallinear isometry up to translation  $\overline{T|_{\operatorname{Int}(X)}}$  from  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$ . Since T and  $\widetilde{T|_{\operatorname{Int}(X)}}$  are isometric and  $X \subset \operatorname{Int}(X)$  holds by Lemma 3.3,  $\overline{T|_{\operatorname{Int}(X)}} = T$  on X.

An isometry from a star-shaped closed subset need not be extended to a real-linear map up to translation.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^2_{\max} = \mathbb{R}^2$  as real linear spaces and the norm is defined as  $||(x, y)|| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$  for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\max}$ . Let

$$X_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\max} : -1 \le x \le 0, |y| \le -x\},\$$
$$X_2 = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\max} : 0 \le x \le 1\}$$

and

$$X = X_1 \cup X_2.$$

Then X is a star-shaped closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2_{\max}$ . Let

$$T: X \to \mathbb{R}^2_{\max}$$

be defined as

$$T((x,y)) = \begin{cases} (x,y), & (x,y) \in X_1, \\ (x,\sin x), & (x,y) \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Then T is isometry and T(Int(X)) is open in  $\mathbb{R}^2_{max}$ . On the other hand T is not extended to a linear map since

$$T(-(1,0)) = (-1,0) \neq (-1,-\sin 1) = -T((1,0))$$

and T((0,0)) = (0,0).

### References

- T. Figiel, On non-linear isometric embedding of normed linear spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci, Math. Astron. Phys., 16(1968), 185–188
- [2] O. Hatori, Isometries between groups of invertible elements in Banach algebras, to appear
- [3] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, 194(1932), 946–948
- [4] J. Väisälä, A proof of the Mazur-Ulam theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 110(7)(2003), 633–635

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181 Japan

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{hatoriQmath.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp}$